



Small Grants 2022
Criteria for Marking



Assessor	
Number of proposal: (see file name)	
Author	

Please rate the project in the following areas: (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree)

Please use the following questions to evaluate the study		Strongly Disagree					Strongly Agree				
<i>The Study Proposal</i>											
1	The applicant critiques the background literature, justifying the need for the study.	1	2	3	4	5					
2.1	The aim(s) and research question(s) are clearly articulated	1	2	3	4	5					
2.2	The methods are appropriate to address the aim(s)/answer the research question(s).	1	2	3	4	5					
2.3	The projected outcomes are important/relevant to the ASME community.	1	2	3	4	5					
3	The study timeline seems feasible.	1	2	3	4	5					
4	The request for funding is justified with a tabulated breakdown of costs.	1	2	3	4	5					
		Total score									<u>/30</u>

Does this application require ethics and/or R&D approval?	
---	--

Comments on strengths of this application:

Comments on how this application could have been improved:

Please tick relevant box with your final recommendation:

- Definitely fund
- Fund if possible
- Not good enough